IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

MONDAY, THE 4TH JUNE 2007 / 14TH JYAISHTA 1929

RP.No. 450 of 2007(U)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.12570/2007

.....

PETITIONER

P.MOHAN DAS, ASSISTANT MANAGER,
KERALA FINANCIAL CORPORATION,
HEAD OFFICE, VELLAYAMBALAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.SANTHOSH KUMAR (PANAMPALLI NAGAR)

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE KERALA FINANCIAL CORPORATION,
REPRESENTED BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR,
HEAD OFFICE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM

2. THE MD, KFC, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE DIRECTOR, ANTI CORRUPTION BUREAU,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY THE
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT,
HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY SRI.R.S.KALKURA for K.F.C

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 04/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

R.P.No. 450 of 2007
in W.P.(C) No.12570/2007

~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~

Dated this the 4th day of June, 2007

O R D E R

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the K.F.C, I find that the judgment sought to be reviewed was passed on a wrong premise. While that judgment was not issued on the assumption that the Corporation would recall Ext.P8 reversion order, the said judgment was issued without expressing anything on merits. Whatever that be, it is appropriate that the judgment sought to be reviewed is recalled on the facts and circumstances, particularly, when the correctness or otherwise of Ext.P6 is a matter that has been raised in the writ petition. Hence, the judgment sought to be reviewed is recalled and review petition is allowed accordingly.

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN
Judge

ms